

Public Participation in Decision Making on Nuclear (*Research*) Installations

Catrinel Turcanu, Tanja Perko

Institute for Environment, Health and Safety Belgian Nuclear Research Centre SCK•CEN

cturcanu@sckcen.be

13th International IRPA Congress, 13-18 May 2012, Glasgow, Scotland TS4b: Processes, Methodologies and Tools in Stakeholder Engagement





We all know that public & stakeholder involvement is important

.... however

- Motivating people to participate in public involvement activities is a major challenge
 - Who wants to be involved?
 - What are the reasons behind (non)participation?
 - What can be improved?



After I. Ajzen (2006)



Study of participation behaviour -Method

Data

- National survey in the Belgian population: (N>1000)
- Sample representative for Belgian 18+ population
- Computer Assisted Personal Interviews (35 to 45 min at respondent's home)

Model

- Predictors based on the Theory of Planned Behaviour (ext)
 +
- Socio demographic (gender, age); past behaviour
- Attitude towards nuclear
- Risk perception & confidence in authorities



Planned level of involvement... I want to:



STU

- Receive information about the installation
- Receive information and express my opinion
- Participate in dialogue towards consensual decision
- Be an active partner in decision-making
- I don't want to be involved

Don't know/no answer



Results (1)



Model 1: sample split in two categories

No involvement at all vs. some degree of involvement

Results

- Most influential: Attitude towards participation and moral norms
- Also significant: subjective norms and time constraints
- Financial benefits and risk perception: inconsequential







Model 2: only people who would like to be involved

Results

- Strongest predictors: attitude towards participation, moral norms and time constraints
- Also significant: descriptive norms and general attitude towards nuclear energy; subjective norms less influential
- Weak influence: past participation and gender
- As before, financial benefits and risk perception are inconsequential



Conclusions

- Most people (70%) would like to get involved to a certain degree; and to be able to at least express their opinion (52%)
- For higher degrees of involvement: convince people that participation is worthwhile and brings benefits to the decisionmaking process
- Citizens' culture plays an important role
- Time constraints are recognized as a challenge, but financial benefits are inconsequential
- Long term programmes of stakeholder involvement are necessary, with early involvement at the outset of the process