# He ad Radiation Dose from Rediatric CT Examination on Single and 64-slice CT

# Kellen Adriana Curci Daros<sup>1</sup>, Cristina Faria Kikuti<sup>1</sup>, Regina Bitelli Medeiros<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universi dade Federal de São Paulo, Departamento de Diagnóstico por Imagem, Coodenadoria de Fisica e Higiene das Radiações R. Mirassol, 313. São Paulo SP - e mail: kdaros @hot mail.com, kikutićf@gmail.com, rbitelli2011@gmail.com

## Introduction:

The pediatric computed tomography (CI) scans have increased worldwide, contributing to higher population radiation dose. Technique diversification in pediatrics exams and different CT technologies of multi detectors computed tomography (MDCT) has led a wide range of exposure levels making difficulty the optimization of doses at pediatric clinical application.

### Obj ecti ves:

The objective of this study was compare the dose length product (DLP) in the cranial, in the eyes and in the thyroid, si mulating pediatric head exam undergoing CT singles slice and in MDCT 64, using clinical protocol. Forty ther nol uninescent dosi meters (TLD100) were evenly distributed on surface of the head phant om along the sagittal axis.

# Met hods:

To single slice CT, Tomoscan AV-Philips equipment, 20 TLDs were exposed to scatter radiation and, 20 were exposed to primary radiation performed by 120kV, 300 mAs, and slice thickness/spacing of 3/5 mm and 5/7 mm in the anatomic position equivalent of the supratentorial and posteri or fossa regi ons, respecti vel y.

To MDCT, Brilliance 64-Philips, the TLDs was exposed to 120 kV, 400 to 359 mAs modulation, and slice/spacing of 2/1 mm to all head surface, and it was used a phantom of dose pediatric (16 cm of diameter) for si mul ati on chil d's crani u m

The TLDs were calibrated for 120kV X-ray over the acrylic phantom TL measurements were performed on Harshaw 4000 system

#### **Results:**

To single slice CT the dose were calculated based on previous study, by mean linear TL density for the region exposed to secondary radiation defined by position (p):

• To secondary Xray.

 $0.3 \le p \le 6 \text{ cm}$   $\rho(p) = 7.9(4) \times 10^2 + 7(5) \times 10^{-5} p^{4.5(4)} \text{ cm}^1$ (Eq 1);

•To pri mary X Ray to posterior fossa region:

6.0(Eq 2)

- To exposed for the supratent orial region:
- 9.  $6 \le p \le 12$ . 3c m,  $\rho(p) = 0$ , 87(7)-0, 007(7) p c m<sup>1</sup> (Eq 3)

To MDCT the dose was estimated to 12 cm 25 cm to eyes, 5 cm to thyroid based on TLD response as function of phant omlength

To the single slice CT equipment, the DLP to the cranium eyes and thyroid were 1133(331) mGy, 39(15) mGy and 6,5(2.5) mGy, respectively.

At exams with MDCT equipment the DLP to the cranium, eyes and thyroid were 1647(82) mGy, 339(17) mGy and 404(20) mGy, respectively



Figure 1: Ilustration of pediatric exposition in single-slice CT, angulated.

Figure 2: Ilustration of exposition in multi-slice CT

RPAP

Figure 3 shows the date calculated for the distribution of radiation in cranium second the equation 1, 2 and 3, the experimental results for CT single-slice and multi-slice, respectively.





## Discussion and Conclusions:

These results show that the doses are superior using MDCT. The results for the eyes exposure might be able to induce cataracts since lens opacities can be induced as low as 100 mGy. The clinical protocol adopted in the MDCT generated high levels of thyroid doses not detected at single slice exams.

#### R & er ences:

Hadwin Urab 1997, 302-205-3.
Huda W, Liberman KA, Chang J, Roskopf ML. Patient size and x-ray technique factors in head computed tomography examination.II. Radiation dose. Med Phys 2004;31(3):595-601.

Daros, KAC, Echemberg J. Medeiros RB.. Dose Estimation for Pediatric Cranial Computed Tomography.. In: Second
 European IRPA Congress on Radiation Protection, 2006, Paris. Book Abstracts, 2006. v. 1. p. 67-67.
 Karla MK, Maher M, Toth TL, Hamberg LM, Blake MA, Sheparad JA, et al. Strategies for CT radiation dose optimization. Radiology 2004;230:619-28. •Nickoloff E. Current adult and pediatric CT dose. Pediatr Radiol 2002;32:250-60. Huda W, Lieberman KA, Chang J, Roskopf ML. Patient size and x-ray technique factors in head computed tomography examination. I. Radiation dose. Med Phys 2004;31(3):588-94 Slovis LT. The ALARA concept in pediatric CT: myth ou reality? Radiology 2002;223:5-6.

Medeiros RB, Daros KAC. Avaliação das doses de radiação X recebidas por pacientes em estudos radiológicos Radiol Bras 1997:30:263-5.